CAZØN CR 60 -78 I59 Government Publications

# Intercultural Seminars East Indians

DEPOSITORY LIBRARY MATERIAL





Ontario Ministry of Culture and Recreation

Multicultural Development Branch Hon. Robert Welch Minister Robert D. Johnston Deputy Minister Digitized by the Internet Archive in 2024 with funding from University of Toronto

# Introduction

This publication consists of workshop and seminar presentations given by individual members of the East Indian community. As such, they are to be read as one individual's viewpoint and not as a definitive analysis or study of an entire community.

The Multicultural Development Branch publishes the presentations as a tool for professionals, such as educators, health personnel, social workers, recreationists and others, to use as one means of gaining more insight into the needs and aspirations of specific clientele. The Branch is interested in obtaining more such articles on the East Indians or on any other community which might serve as a useful aid to professionals wishing to provide services to a multicultural population. Please contact the Branch at 77 Bloor St. W., 5th Floor, Toronto, Ontario, M7A 2R9, telephone 965-6621.

# Contents

Introduction 1
East Indians in Canada by Indira A. Subramaniam, University of Toronto
Remarks by Dr. T.J. Samuel of Ottawa at the Intercultural Seminar on East Indians
Understanding the Multi-cultures of India, by Vara Pasupuletti Singh
Publications available from Multicultural Development Branch36

# East Indians in Canada

by Indira A. Subramaniam, University of Toronto

Text of presentation given on 19th March, 1976, Seminar on Education and the East Indian Student

Ministry of Culture and Recreation and Indian Immigrant Aid Services

In the thirty minutes allotted to me, I shall attempt to encapsulate 4,000 years of cultural complexity which symbolizes India and the East Indians. Of necessity therefore the following presentation is very general and somewhat disjointed.

My comments are primarily meant to present an overview of the historical background and cultural characteristics of East Indians in Canada as well as some of the attitudes and stereotyped conceptions that Canadians and Indians have of each other.

The information contained in my comments has been drawn from Canadian Government sources, from primary, first-hand research conducted among members of the East Indian community in Toronto, and from the research of various sociologists, political scientists and other concerned individuals.

#### Introduction

East Indians in Canada are rapidly evolving into a significant ethnic community within the "Canadian Mosaic". The fact that East Indians are a visible minority in the larger cities of Canada has given rise to apprehensions about this group. Ignorance of the social background, cultural traditions and general expectations of East Indians has generated fear, anxiety and even antagonism toward this group. Knowledge about East Indians among the general public originates in residual erroneous impressions from early twentieth-century experiences in British Columbia, the remnant attitudes of British colonialism, the current negative attitudes transmitted by some new British immigrants to Canada and irresponsible mass media publicity of the issues affecting this group. Thus, negative stereotyping has occurred which has exacerbated the problems of the acceptance and integration of East Indians into Canadian society.

# A Brief History

All East Indians in Canada are not recent arrivals. East Indians have been residing in Canada since 1870 when they came to work on the Canadian Pacific Railroad and in the logging and lumber camps of British Columbia. These prewar migrants were primarily comprised of uneducated or semiliterate persons, mostly male adults, of peasant origins who had come to Canada, despite restrictive regulations, in order to escape from poverty and the frustration of British colonial rule in India. Today, this community has evolved into a fairly

affluent one in British Columbia, numbering a few millionaires, and a fair number of 3rd and even 4th-generation Indo-Canadians.

Yet, the concern of various social groups and organizations that interact with East Indian people in Toronto today lies with the post-war immigrant of East Indian origins.

East Indian immigration to Canada recommenced after the Second World War in 1950. Today, there are an estimated 50,000 East Indians in Ontario of which the majority reside in Toronto. The exact number of East Indians in Canada is unknown. It is estimated to be somewhere between 120,000 and 150,000 persons.

The post-war East Indian immigrant to Canada is of a very different type to his pre-war counterpart.\* Post-war East Indian immigrants tend to be educated, middle-class professional or para-professional persons from urban backgrounds. Therefore, their expectations, reactions, rates and patterns of adjustment to the local society no longer conform to the stereotype set by their pre-war predecessors.

#### Some Characteristics of East Indians

East Indians are a visible minority. Visibility is an important factor to be contended with when considering adaptation and integration into Canadian society.

Indians are racially visible in most instances and therefore share common problems of acceptance by society with other visible groups such as Orientals and Blacks. Indians are culturally visible. This is to say they have different patterns of dress, different food habits, they are generally of a different faith (Hindu or Moslem), and they are proud of their own ancient cultural traditions and therefore are not in any hurry to emulate local traditions and customs. Indians are linguistically visible. Most Indian immigrants to Canada speak or understand English upon arrival but they speak the language with an accent and are often ignorant of linguistic idiom in Canada. Thus, a breakdown in communication can and does occur.

The Canadian population in general, as well as East Indian immigrants, tend to have some negative preconceived notions about one another, often erroneous, which are influential in hampering adaptation and commitment to Canadian society. Let us consider several.

<sup>\*</sup> The post-war East Indian immigrant to Canada is also very different to the type of Indian (or Pakistani) immigrant that arrived in Britain in the 1950's and 1960's.

Most Canadians classify all East Indians under one grouping and treat them as such.

The East Indian group in Toronto is representive of the diversity within India. India is not just a nation — it is a sub-continent. Briefly, there are three different racial groups in India alone — Caucasian, Mongoloid and the ancient Dravidian. Skin colors and racial types range from Nordic fairness in the north to Nubian blackness in the south. In the east, Oriental features predominate. A whole host of intermediate racial and color combinations and variations is present. In addition, ancient Greek and Russian intermixtures and more recent British, French, Dutch and Portuguese racial amalgamation have provided even more variation. One also cannot forget the important Semitic populations which exist due to Arab and Turkish invasions of the past.

India is a secular state — not a Hindu state — so every known major religion in the world is represented in India. Hindus comprise about 80% of the population. Moslems are a significant minority with over 60 million followers; Christians, Sikhs, Buddhists and Jews plus a myriad other smaller sub-religious sects are also present. In addition, there are also some primitive tribes with their own brand of religion. Therefore, not all Indians in Canada are Hindu.

India has numerous languages and the language issue is a controversial one. If the French/English controversy in Canada is considered a problem, it would do well to try to solve the problem of 15 major rival languages and over 400 dialects each with their own script and grammatical form. Each province in India has its own language, its own literature, its own philosophy, its own idiom and its own cultural heritage. One literally becomes illiterate if one moves from one province to another. Paradoxically, English remains the primary link language between the elites and mobile middle classes of India. English and Hindi are the two official languages of India and English is used as a medium of instruction in some schools and universities in the large cities.

In addition to linguistic and religious diversity there is also cultural diversity. All Indians are not vegetarian or wear sarees or have a dot on their forehead or eat curry. Food habits, dress habits, entertainment patterns, festivals, rituals, ceremonies and even personality types vary considerably due to historical experience, ecological and cultural adaptation over the centuries.

Finally, East Indians in Canada are not all from India. Some originate in East Africa (Kenya, Uganda, Tanzania), others from South Africa, Malaysia, Fiji, Mauritius, Britain, West Indies, etc. They are mostly descendents of East Indians who settled in these parts of the world generations ago. Living outside India for generations has caused certain internal modifications of Indian culture and character among these groups. Nevertheless they are bound together by

racial characteristics, by some common values, traditions and cultural characteristics.\*

Canadians tend to refer to all East Indians as "Indo-Pakistanis" or as "Pakis".

In the past two or three years, the word Paki has come into popular usage especially among Canadian school children and the less educated or more insecure Canadian adults.

The word Paki is a derogatory term meaning Pakistani. It was originally used in Britain in the 1950's and 1960's to refer to Pakistani immigrants there. In Britian a distinction was made between East Indians and Pakistanis.

Since then, it has been transmitted to Canada and has now been absorbed into local vocabulary to join the existing multitude of words to derogate minorities such as Wops, Kikes, Krauts, Micks, Polacks.

Unfortunately, the use of this term indicates the ignorance of those that use it. East Indians are not Pakistanis. Pakistan is a small, independent country that came into existence in 1947. Neither Indians, nor, presumably, Pakistanis care for this term.

Most Canadians believe that Indians are poor, running away from starvation and disease.

Most media presentations about India or Indians depict the country and people as poverty-stricken, with the usual distended abdomens of starvation, the attendant squalor and the large soulful tragic eyes of children. But wealth exists in India in a form unimaginable to the Western world even though among a very few. In between the extremes of wealth and poverty there is a significant and growing middle class, Indians who are comfortably off, who live fairly well, are educated, who own some property or business. It is from this group that immigrants to Canada originate.

Post-war East Indian immigrants are therefore middle-class people. They are usually from urban backgrounds and they leave India not only for economic reasons but to obtain job satisfaction, career mobility, education and stabilized futures for their children. Increased competition and occupational frustration in the large cities are added "push" factors which induce them to migrate. Indians choose to come to Canada because it is an English-speaking country, it is depicted by Canadian immigration officers stationed abroad as being free and tolerant and because friends and relatives are already here. Above all, Canada is seen as a land of opportunity where career advancement and personal development is possible.

<sup>\*</sup> These various groups tend to maintain their separateness from one another in Canada.

Thus, the average years of schooling of an Indian immigrant are said to be higher than the national average for Canada according to the recent Green Paper on Immigration published by the Department of Manpower and Immigration. Nearly half of all Indian immigrant heads of household are engineers, accountants, professors, doctors, dentists, etc.

The poor cannot leave India as they neither have the initial resources to leave nor are they eligible, nor do they desire it.

Indians from India arriving in Canada are not destitute. They may not be able to bring in cash, but they bring gold, jewellery, rugs, silks and various saleable artifacts which are readily convertible into cash and which add to the over-all national wealth of Canada. Indians from Uganda brought in huge amounts of cash. For example, one recently paid two million dollars in cash for an antique store in Toronto.

A rough estimate of the value of items brought in by East Indians on immigration ranges from \$5,000 up to hundreds of thousands of dollars per family unit.

Most Indians do not display wealth. They are not conspicuous consumers. They are not interested in keeping up with the Joneses. Many abhor loans and would rather save and then buy a desired item outright. As a result most East Indians do not incur debts but end up living in austere circumstances which leads people to stereotype them as living below standard. A few, no doubt, are on welfare. Those that do end up that way are usually nominated immigrants (friends or distant relatives of immigrants) who arrived in Canada but have then been left to fend for themselves without assistance of any kind. New immigration policy intends to eliminate this nominated category of immigrants.

Most Canadians believe that East Indian immigrants produce large families who will eventually inundate Canada.

A survey of popular opinions about East Indians indicated that the average Canadian believes that East Indian immigrants in Canada "breed like rats". India and over-population are constant themes. The two seem inseparable. But middle-class Indian families in India no longer produce large numbers of children. Most have an average of 2 or 3 children whether they migrate to Canada or not. Indian immigrants to Canada are primarily from this group and their average family size is therefore comparable to or slightly smaller than their Canadian counterparts. Additional motivations for small families arise from economic insecurity, perceptions of prejudice and hostility and the desire for upward social mobility in the new society.

Many Canadians believe that East Indian neighbors spoil the neighborhood, because once they move in, others will follow.

Unlike some ethnic groups, Indians do not practise residential segregation. They do not form ethnic neighborhoods and ghettos where huge clusters gather. They are scattered all over the city. Initially, upon arrival they may live in small clusters, but not for long. As soon as economic stability is achieved, individuals and nuclear family units move to areas close to their place of work and to homes or apartments which they can afford. Many professional and para-professional East Indian families have bought their homes. The values of these homes vary from \$20,000 or \$30,000 to well over half a million.

Many Canadians believe that East Indians are not capable of adapting to the cold

This is an old argument originating in the 19th and early 20th century ignorance of biological adaptation combined with prejudice. Obviouly a dark skin is still associated with tropical climes and a hot blood!

Much of Asia and Africa is not tropical. Even in India, temperatures in New Delhi often go below freezing in winter and the nothern provinces even get snow. Affluent Indians go to their summer cottages in the hills where temperatures rarely rise above 65-70 degrees Fahrenheit even in mid-summer.

## Indian Conceptions of Canadians

Just as Canadians have some preconceived ideas about East Indians, the opposite is also true. Some negative stereotyped conceptions of Canadian society exist which color East Indian attitudes and behavior patterns. Here are several examples.

- a) Canadians have loose morals. There is too much premarital and extra marital sex, high divorce and remarriage rates, etc.
- b) Canadians treat their old people badly. Family ties are too loose.
- c) Canadians give too much independence too soon to their children. Children learn about rights but not about duties and obligations.
- d) Canadians are aloof, cold and unfriendly.
- e) Canadians are too materialistic and given to showing wealth. Keeping up with the Joneses is more important than financial stability.

Etc., etc., etc.

Whether these conceptions are true or not is irrelevant. The fact is that first-generation East Indian immigrants believe that culturally, Canadian society cannot compete with their own. This is not to say that everything Canadian is seen in a negative light. Many Indians hold positive opinions about Canadians and Canadian society. For example there is admiration of the work ethic,

punctuality, orderliness, and practicality, as well as appreciation of the ease of living and so on.

#### The Cultural Background of East Indians

A basic introduction to the background of this immigrant group is necessary to dispel erroneous beliefs and misunderstandings and to provide an insight into the whys and wherefores of their thinking and behavior. One of the first social institutions that comes to mind is the family.

#### THE INDIAN FAMILY

This is the most important link between individual and society. The Indian family provides the individual with identity, not just a name and social class, but also caste or clan identity (where applicable), religious identity, regional or provincial identity and a historical identity. From an Indian name one can usually tell the home town, province, religion and linguistic affiliation of the individual. The family provides the individual with psychological security and economic stability, it provides the individual with emotional satisfaction and it prescribes the individual's rights as well as duties and obligations.

The Indian family system has primarily been an extended or joint family system where not only parents and children, but also grandparents, uncles, aunts, cousins, etc., all live under the same roof and eat from the same kitchen. The average Indian child is exposed to all age groups of both sexes on an intimate, primary basis.

Urbanization and industrialization in India have started to break down this socio-economic unit but it is migration that finally splits up the extended family into nuclear fractions. The Indian family in Canada is primarily a nuclear unit. As a result, many psychological and economic supports are suddenly eliminated. The migrant family finds its sudden isolation and independence difficult to bear. To make matters worse, the exigencies of survival in a new society (getting a job, working long hours to earn enough to stabilize one's economic position, etc.) tend to separate husband from wife. All of a sudden, the Indian woman, traditionally docile, protected and passive, is catapulted into a situation where she must make all sorts of decisions independently. It is a traumatic experience and she has no one to turn to - neither the support of a family nor of close friends around her. Residential scatter and lack of language facility can worsen the situation to a considerable extent. Thus, Indian women are often not equipped to deal with the new additions to the roles of wife and mother, at least initially.

Indian children are brought up on the basis of duties to adults. The Indian family and society is adult-centred, not child-centred. Rights can only be gained by age, education, maturity and experience. Indian children therefore tend to be more docile than their Canadian counterparts at least for the first few years after arrival in Canada.

The Indian family is demanding on the individual. A child's duty to its parents overrides all other relationships including those of marriage in later years. Filial obligations are very important. Family relationships are placed above peergroup relationships. Few Indian children become "buddies" or hang around with "the gang" at school. Extra-familial relationships are secondary. Indian children in Canada often end up somewhat marginal as they are compelled to live in two worlds—Canadian and Indian. Parents expect conformity to Indian cultural traditions, values and behavior patterns, while society expects them to become Canadian and provides little understanding or sympathy.

Marriages in India are generally parentally arranged and as a result nearly everyone in India gets married. Children growing up in Canada become torn between the lure or romanticism and the pull of free-choice marriages with the attendant patterns of dating on the one hand, and of parental pressure not to get interested in members of the opposite sex whether Indian or non-Indian, on the other. Girls face greater pressures than boys. In high school when dating begins in earnest, Indian teenagers are often at a psychological and real disadvantage and they undergo considerable cultural and emotional strain.

#### INDIAN CLASS STRUCTURE

India is a paradoxical mixture of feudalism and modernity. Caste remains an important feature of Indian society. Yet caste is not social class but exists concurrently with it. One can be lower caste but of middle class status, or upper caste and of lower class status and so forth. The two systems do not necessarily coincide.

In Canada, except for the purpose of marriage and a few religious rituals among the Hindus, caste becomes decreasingly relevent and class becomes more significant. Again, all Indians in Toronto, for example, are not socially the same. The difference and divisions which already exist within the minority based upon language and religion are exacerbated by class factors as well. A well-defined socio-economic hierarchy is developing within the Indian community.

#### INDIAN VALUE SYSTEM

Among the most significant values which Indian parents inculcate in their children are those of education, family solidarity, non-violence and morality. While these four are important they are by no means representative of the complete set of values that exist.

#### **EDUCATION**

Learning is traditionally something desirable. It is a value which arose in association with high caste status thousands of years ago. The Brahmins were the purveyors of all knowledge. Learned men and women have been traditionally respected above all others. Philosophers, sages, religious leaders, and even politicians have always advocated education in word if not in deed. Education is seen as the greatest investment and basis of security — as

something no one can take away. It is the most effective way of proving one's worth, of overcoming competition, of bettering one's status and position in life. Indian children are constantly admonished by their parents for not studying enough.

Children of highly educated parents, it is assumed, will get the same education as their parents. Children of para-professionals and skilled workers, it is assumed, will at least get a basic college degree or a vocational diploma of some sort. School drop-outs are infrequent among East Indians in Canada. To drop out of school brings shame not only on the individual but on the family. Parents exert tremendous pressure to continue in school and complete educational requirements. University dropouts may occur especially among girls who get married but even this is less frequent in Canada than in India.

#### **FAMILY SOLIDARITY**

For 4,000 years the family has been the most important unit of society in India. Parent-child relationships are extremely close, especially the mother-son relationship. Sibling relationships are also close. The most important value related to the family is care for the aged. It is considered shameful and degrading for an individual to consider putting his parents or grandparents in an old persons' home. The parent's domestic lives revolve around the children and in turn children are expected to serve parents first and only then themselves.

#### **NON-VIOLENCE**

Traditionally, physical violence has been abhorred. Gandhi's stance on non-violence is well-known. Indians as a group are less aggressive and more conservative where physical violence is concerned. This has also caused some speculation in the light of recent attacks upon East Indians that this group is picked upon for attacks because retaliation is less likely. Physical violence is not encouraged by parents either at home, in school or elsewhere. Verbal repartee or withdrawal is considered a sufficient response to physical challenges in most cases.

#### **MORALITY**

Most parents worry about the deterioration of the moral fibre of their children. Indians are no exception. Dating and changing sexual mores are great anxiety-producers. Girls reaching puberty often appear to become less friendly and extroverted, but it is not unfriendliness but fear that puts the clamps on these children. Children who have played together all through their primary school years find they cannot do so that easily in high school. Sexual morality and personal ethics are stressed more especially in the case of girls. As usual, boys can get away with more.

# A Note on Integration

Finally, there is the problem of integration. What does it mean to an East Indian?

Integration implies the formation of a sense of belonging to a country and society within the framework of one's own modified cultural identity. Integration means becoming an Indo-Canadian.

Post-war Indian immigrants come to Canada with certain economic and social expectations. Yet most of them face downward social mobility due to reasons of prejudice and discrimination, hostility and general societal ethnocentrism. They face greater problems than European immigrants who, in time, can pass into society if they wish because they don't look different.

Yet, being relatively well-educated, Indians have complex expectations. It is not only money that assuages their needs, but also personal development and career mobility. It is logical therefore that East Indians who cannot achieve success, who feel they are not welcome, or, who fail to make the grade will naturally want to return to India or move elsewhere. It is estimated that about 16% of all immigrants remigrate out of Canada — to the United States or back to their countries of origins or elsewhere in search of satisfaction.

When a full-fledged dentist works at making false teeth and a lawyer oecomes a clerk and an accountant becomes a bookkeeper and people with college education become parking lot attendants, then the local society does not fulfil expectations and therefore cannot compete for the cultural and emotional allegiance of a minority.

By the same token, all East Indians are not the salt of the earth. Canada does receive a choice selection, the wheat. Some of the chaff also slips in. Unfortunately, the chaff becomes important because it determines how the wheat is treated.

Indians do have problems within their own group. There are broken families, delinquent children, deviants and criminals, illegal immigrants, the psychologically maladjusted and the economically unstable. But, as in every other ethnic group, these are a small fraction.

East Indians also often fail to grasp the nuances of social niceties and Canadian etiquette. They may not understand the principles of reciprocity and group cooperation, or the requirements of community involvement in their residential neighborhoods. This is not because they do not want to do so, but because they are unaware of the need for this as it is alien to their cultural orientation. As a result they are often misjudged as being arrogant, aloof or withdrawn, unfriendly, difficult, uncommunicative, or just stupid. But this does not mean they cannot change. It would seem that both East Indians and Canadians need education about each other

Integration, then, means equal opportunity, equal satisfaction of needs, equal contribution to the new country, equal access to power and influence for those who are eligible and capable. Yet it must occur within the framework of one's own cultural identity. No one wants to be lost in a sea of massified humanity.

# Remarks

by Dr. T. J. Samuel of Ottawa at the Intercultural Seminar on East Indians held on March 13, 1975 in Toronto\*

The country of origin of East Indian immigrants has many faces and many facets. A nation of about 600 million people, adding a Canada to its population every year, it is a land of contradictions. There live some of the poorest people in the world, and also some of the richest. India is atomic physicists at Bombay and Naga tribesmen in Assam, it is maharajas and street sweepers, Harvard and Oxford-trained scholars and unlettered farmers, it is tough Sikh soldiers and peace loving Jain monks. It wears turbans, Gandhi caps and no caps, the latest Paris fashions and simplest loin cloth. It is palaces and huts, automobile factories and wooden ploughs. It is Hindus, Moslems, Christians (incidentally Christianity reached India before it reached Rome), Sikhs, Jews, Parsis, Buddhists and atheists. The Indian speaks in many tongues and lives in a real multicultural society. I suppose that summarizes the socio-economic and cultural conditions in which most of these immigrants grew up.

The historical aspect of Indian immigration to Canada makes very interesting reading. Asia and North America were completely unknown to each other until nearly one hundred years after Columbus sighted the West Indian islands. The Chinese came first from California in 1858 when gold was struck in Fraser River, B.C. The Indians came much later. It happened like this. The Sikh soldiers did yeoman service for the British Empire and won recognition for their meritorious service in the army. Some of them were rewarded by being sent to England as representatives of the Empire at Queen Victoria's Jubilee in 1898. On returning to India they visited Canada and were well treated and entertained in Canada. On returning to India they maintained fond memories of their trip to Canada and some of them decided to settle in Canada. They arrived in 1904 and filled labor shortages in B.C. lumber mills.

Between 1904 and 1907, 5,179 of them reached B.C. They were mistakenly called Hindus though almost all of them were Sikhs. To quote Charles Woodsworth, "Their queer dress and odd appearance created dislike and B.C. watched their struggles to make a living with manifest unease." There were outbreaks of violence against them as there were against those of Chinese and Japanese origins. Measures were taken to stop the immigration of the so-called Hindus. One rule effectively used was the "Continuous passage" rule of 1908. It

<sup>\*</sup> The views contained in these remarks are personal and, therefore, should not be attributed to any organization Dr. Samuel is associated with.

said that an Indian can come to Canada only by continuous passage from India. And there were no ships from India visiting Canadian ports. In April, 1914, a memorable episode took place — 376 Sikhs from India chartered a ship and did come by continuous passage to Vancouver. The ship sat in Vancouver port for three months before finally being turned away. That is known as the Komagata Maru incident.

Many of the Indian immigrants in B.C., having failed to realize their dreams, left for the U.S. They, as well as many Chinese and Japanese immigrants, did not receive a rousing welcome there either. The late President Kennedy wrote: "Our behavior towards these groups (oriental immigrants) represented a shameful episode in our relationship to those seeking the hospitality of our shores. They were often mobbed and stoned by native Americans." If one examines the treatment meted out to Oriental immigrants in the past, one could observe that rarely in the social history of a nation has so much abuse been showered on so few by so many.

After India emerged as a free nation in the Commonwealth, a quota system was introduced for immigrants from that part of the world. It allowed 150 immigrants each year from India.

In the postwar period over 4 million people from all over the world have uprooted and transplanted themselves to Canada. Of them 80,000 were born in India. They joined about 3,000 of Indian origin who were living in Canada, mostly in B.C.

However, people of Indian origin did not come from the Indian subcontinent alone. They came also from Guyana, Trinidad and Tobago, Uganda, Kenya, South Africa, Fiji, Mauritius and many other countries. Their numbers are hard to estimate though their faces are easy to be recognized as Indians. Out of about 80,000 who came from the above-mentioned countries in the post-war period, if about 50 per cent are likely to be of Indian origin, then another 40,000 should be added to the population of Indian origin in Canada. Of course to this should be added the children born to Indian immigrants after being in Canada. The numbers who returned to India or remigrated to another country come on the minus side. After considering all these, one may guesstimate that people of Indian origin in Canada number somewhere between 125,000 and 140,000 at the present time.

It may be asked: Where do the Indo-Canadians stand in relation to the other ethnic groups as far as numbers are concerned? I would be inclined to think that their number exceeds those of people with ethnic origins such as Danish, Finnish, Czech, Belgium, Japanese and Negro. Thus, in the multicultural social fabric of our country, the people of Indian origin are not as insignificant a number as is often supposed. Their numbers could be very close to the number of Canadians of Portuguese or Chinese origin.

Approximately half of these people of Indian origin are settled in Ontario, mostly in Toronto. According to the 1971 Census, Metropolitan Ottawa is a distant second followed by Hamilton, London, Kitchener, Windsor and Thunder Bay.

Indian immigrants who came before the first world war went mostly to British Columbia. Even in the 1946-61 period about 75-80 per cent of them went to British Columbia. Since then Ontario has been receiving a larger share than British Columbia.

Up to 1946, virtually 100 per cent of Indian immigrants were in three occupations: sawmilling, farming and trucking. In 1947-52 about one out of six who came to join the labor force was in managerial, professional or technical occupations. By 1953-55, it rose to one third. By 1956-61 two out of five came to join such occupations. In the 1962-73 period almost half the immigrant workers from India came to managerial, professional and technical occupations. Among the professionals about one in five is a teacher, another an engineer, another a doctor and the remaining two would be from various other professions.

Apart from changes in occupational composition over the last few years, there has also been a change in religious composition. Since no hard figures are available, no percentages can be quoted. However, it may be stated that the number of Hindus, Moslems, and Christians from India has increased substantially in recent years. It would probably be true to say that the majority of recent immigrants from India are not from the Sikh religious group.

The process of the adaptation and settlement of the immigrants may be approached from three angles: a) economic b) socio-cultural and c) political. Economically, people of Indian origin operate successfully at all levels of employment from laboring to the millionaire class. Looking specifically at three areas of economic adaptation — employment, income and housing — according to a study of the Department of Manpower and Immigration, as reported in one of the volumes of the Green Paper, Indian immigrants who came between 1969 and 1971 started to work, on an average, 5½ weeks after their arrival in the country. The British immigrants had to wait only half as long, while the Chinese and Yugoslavian immigrants waited a week longer.

After three years in Canada, the Indian immigrants who came in 1969 had an average family income of \$9,056 a year. This compares to \$12,237 for British immigrants, who were at the top and \$6,457 for Italian immigrants who were at the bottom. Between 1969 and 1971, the Indian immigrants had increased their income by 69 per cent.

Indian immigrants have not, generally speaking, established ethnic neighborhoods or residential communities. They are spread out in all residential districts from the posh to the poor. According to the study referred to above, in three years 29 per cent of them bought their homes. A quarter of Italian and

Chinese immigrants, and one eighth of West Indian immigrants and less than one tenth of Greek immigrants became homeowners during the same period.

On the basis of an assumption that there are about 40,000 households of Indo-Canadians and that the average income per family is around \$13,000 now, their contribution to the GNP of the country works out to be over \$500 million.

Indian immigrants have produced their due share of businesses as well. All such businesses are not easily recognizable, such as the boutiques, restaurants and spice shops.

In general, one could say that people of Indian origin have integrated very well into the Canadian economy.

Social integration is a very difficult term to define precisely. Available evidence indicates that Indian immigrants are integrating smoothly socially as well. According to the study of immigrants quoted in the Green Paper, 70 per cent of Indian immigrants reported, after three years in Canada, that they feel at home here. The question asked was: "Do you now feel that Canada is your home country, or do you feel as if you belong more to your former country?" This compares with 50-64 per cent of immigrants from Portugal, France, Greece and Britain who felt the same way. Less than half the immigrants from Germany, U.S.A., Philippines, West Indies, Italy and Australia said they felt at home in Canada.

Partly, only partly, this could be due to their ability to speak English. Two out of three Indian immigrants spoke English even at home compared to one out of three from Germany and Greece, one out of four from Italy, Yugoslavia and Hong Kong.

Indian immigrants were also more likely to take Canadian citizenship than many other immigrant groups. I will spare you the figures here.

Despite their high level of education, Indian immigrants, like most other immigrants, do feel a culture shock on arrival. It may be asked: What is culture shock? An example may explain it. A Japanese woman immigrant to the U.S.A. in the early 20th century saw for the first time a husband and wife kissing in public. The immigrant was shocked. She wrote back to her mother in Japan: "These Americans have a strange custom. They lick each other like dogs to show their affection."

Well, Indian immigrants are unlikely to write such letters. But maybe they will not accept all cultural aspects of the receiving society without question. For example, some Indian immigrants do not wish to participate in social dancing. They may say it is "jumping around with someone else's wife."

The children born to Indian immigrants here are often culturally totally indistinguishable from any other children. The only distinguishing mark is their

color. Many of these young men and women are now intermarrying with non-Indians and if this becomes widespread, it is unlikely that the Indian ethnic group will be visible in a few generations, unless replenished by continuous streams of migration from India.

Culturally the Indian immigrants are enriching the multicultural character of our society. Indian yoga, cooking, music, etc., are increasingly being appreciated in Canada.

The Indian immigrant is often found on the horns of a dilemma — whether to retain his cultural identity or embrace the cultural values of the host society lock, stock and barrel. If they are forced to do the latter by circumstances, I believe Canadian society will be the loser. In our multicultural society there should be room for all cultures. In fact, under the policy of multiculturalism, what was still the other day Indian culture is now part and parcel of Canadian culture.

The Indo-Canadians face the problem of a poor image in Canada. The media often has a tendency to highlight the negative aspects of their life here, e.g. the recent troubles in the Sikh temple in Toronto, and ignore the positive and creditable contributions being made by them. Often for the media, good news is no news, bad news is big news. The lack of unity, petty jealousies among immigrants, etc., do not help in building a better image either.

In general, it appears that Indians are accepted by the host society in Canada. According to a survey conducted in 1970 by York University, and reported in one of the papers accompanying the Green Paper, only 10 per cent of Torontonians would not accept an Indian as a relative or neighbor. By comparison in U.K., 63 per cent would not accept an Indian.

However, there are situations in which people of Asian origin, as well as Blacks, face prejudice and discrimination. A quarter of Asians and over a third of Blacks in Toronto reported facing such situations in the above survey. It may also be stated that one out of 10 Whites also reported feeling discrimination.

Prejudice and discrimination are rooted in ignorance. Nothing is more terrible than to see ignorance in action. The iceberg of prejudice is being spotted afloat nowadays in our society. However, I hope the sunshine of knowledge will eventually melt away this iceberg. As enlightened members of our society, I believe we have a role to play in letting in more sunshine on the iceberg of ignorance.

The area in which integration will come last is the political one, though this is the most important. Once economic and social integration is achieved, political integration is less difficult, but by no means automatic. Political integration commences with the formulation of political opinions and culminates with full

participation in the political process — standing for elections and so on. This may take more time since political success has often to be preceded by economic success.

# Understanding the Multi-Cultures of India

by Vara Pasupuletti Singh

To understand the people of India, one must know some basic facts about the country. Where, who and what is India?

#### **Federation**

India (also called 'Bharat') is a sovereign democratic republic. The republic, described as a union, is made up of 21 states and 9 union territories.

#### Physiography

The map of India gives you an idea of the country - India lies entirely in the Northern Hemisphere:

North latitude - 8° 4' to 37° 6' East longitude - 68° 7' to 97° 25'

North to south - 3,220 km. (1999.620 miles) West to east - 2,980 km. (1850.580 miles)

Total area,

including Sikkim - 3,276,141 sq. km.

#### Physical Features:

Fall into three well marked regions - each of them vast in dimensions. They are:

- a) The great Himalayan mountain system consists of a whole series of giant peaks like Nanda Devi (24,645 ft.); occasional high passes over which run the immemorial trade routes between India and Tibet.
- b) The Indo-Gangetic Plain one of the world's really large plains the plain as a whole is over 2,400 km. (1,490.4 miles) and generally 200-300 km. (124.2 to 186.3 miles) wide. To the casual observer, it is one of great monotony but, actually it has considerable variety: the gradual transition from the dune-covered desert plain of Rajasthan (West) through the rich cultivation of Uttar-Pradesh to the swampy seaward edge of the Bengal Delta (East).
- c) The plateaux of peninsular India, the Deccan the plateau is a great stretch of rolling country at some considerable elevation above sea level. Most of these plateaux and almost all of the Deccan are built of hard old crystalline rock, with here and there ancient sediments. Here lies most of India's mineral wealth.

#### Constitution

The Constitution of India was passed by the Constituent Assembly on November 26, 1949, and came into force on January 26, 1950.

#### Form of Government

The Constitution sets up parliamentary form of government both in the Centre (Federal) and States (Provincial). Broadly speaking, each of the States (Provinces) has its own executive, legislative and judicial machinery corresponding to that of the Centre (Federal).

#### **Fundamental Rights**

An elaborate and comprehensive declaration of the Fundamental Rights is available to a citizen of India. The Fundamental Rights are classified under seven categories:

- 1) Right to equality (can be claimed even by a non-resident residing in India)
- 2) Right to freedom
- 3) Right against exploitation
- 4) Right to freedom of religion
- 5) Cultural and educational rights
- 6) Right to property
- 7) Right to constitutional remedies

#### Climate

India is so vast and diversified that expressions like 'average rainfall' and 'average temperature' have no meaning. If one seeks a unifying factor in the climate of India, it is to be found in the dominance of the monsoon — the southwest monsoon and north-east monsoon. The south-west monsoon — June to September — is a period of rain, but with a variety, across the country:

Torrentially heavy rains — Cherrapunji — earth's wettest spot, 425" of rain

Very heavy — Bombay, Calcutta

Light — Delhi

Lighter — Desert (Rajasthan)

No rain — Tamil Nadu

The wide range of climatic types is noticeable, with sharp contrasts, e.g.: In the plains, the desert of Rajasthan contrasts with the humidity of Bengal. Winter snows of Himalayas contrast with the equatorial heat of Kerala and Tamil Nadu. Even between Kerala and Tamil Nadu, Kerala is wet with a short dry season, whereas Tamil Nadu is dry with a long dry season.

## Religions (1971 Census)

Major Religious Communities	Percentage of Total Population	Percentage Increase in 1961-1971
Hindus	82.72	23.69
Muslims	11.21	30.85
Christians	2.60	32.60
Sikhs	1.89	32.28
Buddhists	0.70	17.20
Jains	0.47	28.48
Scheduled Castes	14.60	24.18
Scheduled Tribes	6.93	27.23

# Languages 15 (provided by the constitution)

Assamese Bengali Gujarati Hindi	<ul><li>— Assam</li><li>— Bengal</li><li>— Gujarest</li></ul>
Kannad	- Mysore
Kashmiri	— Kashmir
Malayalam	- Kerala
Marathi	
Oriya	— Orissa
Punjabi	— Punjab
Sanskrit	J
Sindhi	
Tamil	— Madras
Telugu	— Andhra-Pradesh
Urdu	Tradesii

The national languages are English and Hindi.

There are 720 dialects spoken in India.

With the diversity of climate, language, etc., the States (Provinces) in India could be almost like clusters of little countries as in Europe, culturally. The national languages of India are English and Hindi. If one did not speak or understand either of these two languages, and if one family, say from Punjab, were to meet a family from Kerala or West Bengal or any other State — it would be like a German and Italian trying to converse, or Russian and French. So, if you find families who have migrated from different parts of India but

happen to stay in the same neighborhood — to you it may seem that they are both from India. There is the common thread of being from India, but sometimes it is the only common thread. To an on-looker, however, it would seem and is many times expressed: "These people from India, they live in the same neighborhood but don't seem to get along with each other."

In addition to language barriers, the caste and customs of individual families, their beliefs and values are also major considerations.

Coming to a new country, mostly blind of what to expect but with high expectations, they have to come to grips first with their own individual and family value systems in relation to Canada. Even though a major percentage of immigrants from India are absorbed in Canada, some seem to have difficulties in a new country. Perhaps we can understand this better in looking at the rural-urban population, education, etc.

#### Population

The 1971 census of India enumerated 547,919,809 persons, approximately 548 million. The census fell short by about 13 million from the projected population. The 24.8% increase in growth rate in 1971 seems due to a fall in death rate and not due to increases in birth rate. Death rate seems to have declined by nearly 50% during the past 70 years. It was around 21 per 1,000 population in 1961, which might decline to 10-15 per 1,000 by 1980.

- a) SEX RATIO There are more males than females, 930 females per 1,000 males. The sex ratio is favorable to females only in Kerala State (1,016) and Dadra-Nagar Haveli (Union Territory) (1,007). In about 11 States, the sex ratio is lower than 900 females to 1,000 males.
- b) AGE STRUCTURE One of the consequences of high birth rate is that India has a very young population. Nearly 42% of India's population is below the age of 15 years, and only 12% is 50 years and over.

## c) RURAL - URBAN —

- 1) A very large proportion of India's population lives in rural areas. Only about 20% (19.91%) live in urban areas as of 1971 (9% in 1881).
- 2) The most urbanized state (province) in India is Maharashtra where 31% of the population in the state lives in urban areas.
- 3) Assam
  Himachal-Pradesh
  Nagaland
  Orissa

  Have less than 10% urban population
- 4) Growth of population in towns of large size is seen generally greater than in medium and small towns. In towns with population size between 5,000 and 9,999, the population decreased during the decade 1961-71.

- 5) A little more than 52% of the urban population (which is about 20% of the total population) live in towns having a population of 100,000 and more.
- 6) As per 1971 census, there are only NINE cities in India which have a population of one million and above (city is regarded as metropolitan when it crosses one million population).

Calcutta Urban	- 7,031,382 persons
Bombay Greater	— 5,970,575 persons
Delhi Urban	-3,647,023 persons
Madras Urban	- 3,169,930 persons
Hyderabad Urban	— 1,796,339 persons
Ahmedabad Urban	— 1,741,522 persons
Bangalore Urban	— 1,653,779 persons
Kanpur City Urban	— 1,275,242 persons
Pune Urban	— 1,135,034 persons

#### 7) Rural-Urban Breakdown of Population by Sex

	Total	Male	Female
Rural	438,855,500	225,218,984	213,636,516
Urban	109,094,309	58,717,630	50,376,679
TOTAL	547,949,809	283,936,614	264,013,915

d) FAMILY PLANNING — India was the first country to adopt an official policy favoring family planning, in 1951. However, in the 10 years (the first two five-year plans) 1951-61, the emphasis was mainly on research in the field of motivation, communication and extension of clinical services. In 1962-63 the program was reorganized and a new approach, called 'extension approach', was adopted. The program is not merely an isolated birth control scheme, but a vital part of over-all socio-economic development and welfare activity. Since 1965, the Indian Family Planning Program has moved into a stronger level of effort to reach various sections of people. It is centrally (Federally) sponsored and assisted to the extent of 96% to 97%.

With a ratio of 80% to 20% rural-urban breakdown, here's a point worth remembering. Of the 20% urban population, a little more than 52% live in only nine metropolitan cities. The problems of people coming from smaller towns to metropolitan cities in any country are almost universal. But to come from smaller towns to metropolitan cities in a new country needs more than the usual understanding and adjustment. The situation gets a little more complex when the people come from villages to metropolitan cities.

I have listed the nine metropolitan cities in India, with their populations, to give you an idea of the cities which are congested and those which are not. Only those who live in heavily-populated metropolitan cities are exposed minimally to apartment living. By and large, the bulk of the population lives at ground level. To come to a new country and live in a one-bedroom or two-bedroom apartment tends to create, at least for some time, more than the normal problems of apartment living. A feeling of isolation, loss of freedom, insecurity are some of the after-effects.

#### AGE AT MARRIAGE

India is one of the few countries where child marriages are still prevalent. It was found in 1961 that, in a number of states, the average age of females at marriage was below the prescribed legal minimum, which is 16 years.

Figures based on one percent sample show that the average age at marriage was:

	Males	Females
1961	21.6 years	15.8 years
1971	22.5 years	17.1 years

Significant regional differences in the mean age at marriage of females are noted by the census reports:

States of have a higher States of

Mysore marriage age than: Andhra-Pradesh
Tamil Nadu Uttar-Pradesh
Kerala Bihar

Rerala Bihar Punjab Orissa

Assam Nadhya-Pradesh

Important rural-urban, caste and religious differences are also found in the mean age of marriage: a) Broadly speaking, mean marriage age is higher in the urban areas by 2.3% than in the rural areas. b) The Christians marry late, followed by Sikhs, Jains, Muslims and Hindus.

These facts may give you a few reasons for the prevalence of arranged marriages, courtship rituals, distinct male-female roles, parent-child discipline, extended family controls, superimposed by communal expectations. At this time, I do not have the time to enlarge on any of these aspects. However, they differ from state to state and have an impact on family life, especially in a new country where they are exposed to different ways of living. For some, it is the first time they have had a chance to test their beliefs and values, and this creates problems within the family.

Another important dimension I would like to touch upon in this context is education.

#### **EDUCATION**

a) LITERACY — Defined as capacity to read and write with understanding in any language.

In 1971, the literacy rate was 29.46%.

In 1961, the literacy rate was 24.03%.

In 1931, the literacy rate was 8.00%.

In 1891, the literacy rate was 6.00%.

In 1971, male literacy was found to be nearly 39% and female literacy 19%. Rural areas have lower literacy rates than urban areas.

- b) FREE EDUCATION As of February 15, 1973, seventeen states (provinces) and all seven union territories have free primary education. In some of these states, all education is free, while others have formulas ranging from free primary education to first year university.
- c) COMPULSORY PRIMARY EDUCATION ACT has been passed by all states except two (Nagaland and Himachal Pradesh).

## Enrolment Since Independence

Age Group		1951	1971
6 to 11	*	43% of age group	80% of age group
11 to 14		13% of age group	35% of age group

#### d) HIGHER EDUCATION

	Number of Universities	Number of Colleges
1960-61	47	1,542
1970-71	92	3,604

e) EXPENDITURE ON EDUCATION — 3.1% of the national income.

I have given the figures from the 1971 census to give you an indication of the existing levels of education and an idea of, hopefully, future acceleration in education. Illiteracy, coupled with mean marriage age, result in looking through certain colored glasses. This could apply to the literate uneducated and sometimes, to a lesser extent, to the educated. The colored glasses could be the caste system structure; classes within the caste system; beliefs, values and discipline in raising children. While this phenomenon may appear complicated and perhaps have some exclusive variables, it is not exclusive to India alone. Understanding of multiculturalism with extreme diversity within one country is the only uniqueness.

Lastly, I would like to touch on social welfare in India.

#### SOCIAL WELFARE

There are 22 schools of social work at the graduate level, and five undergraduate schools of social work.

There are a number of social welfare agencies set up by the Government of India. In addition, there are innumerable voluntary agencies. To name a few recognized agencies:

#### BY GOVERNMENT OF INDIA

Among Tribes — The Government of India has set up nine research institutes to study the problems that affect tribes and to train personnel to help them.

The Central Social Welfare Board — Evaluates and sponsors welfare programs of the government.

The Central Bureau of Correctional Services — Coordinates the policies and programs in the field of social defence, including crime prevention.

The Association of Social and Moral Hygiene — To eradicate prostitution and to rehabilitate women and children in moral danger.

Social Welfare and Rehabilitation Directorate — To tackle problems of deserted women and war widows.

Widows — 300,00 women become widows each year, according to Government of India estimates, especially in the age group 20-24. Relief and assistance — Government expected to spend 300 million Rs (Rupees) in the IV Plan period.

Destitute Children — Government estimates that there are about 1.15 million destitute children up to the age of 14 years. Gives relief and assistance.

Funds for Research — in social work.

Institutions for the Handicapped.

# VOLUNTARY AGENCIES (to name a few)

Child Welfare Women's and Family Welfare
Youth Welfare Rehabilitation of the Handicapped
Rural Welfare Welfare of the Under-Privileged
Social Education International Welfare Agencies, etc.

The agencies mentioned above are primarily focused toward economic, rehabilitative and educative help. The concept of "counselling" — marital, family, etc., as seen in Canada is not that popular in India. In fact, it is almost foreign to the majority of people. To the minimal extent that it is available, there is a strong stigma attached to it. To a large extent, to date, extended families were and are the counsellors. Even then, 'confidentiality' is the name of the game within the family structure.

In conclusion, in any helping situation the process of understanding trust and confidence, leading to meaningful communication, are the basic ingredients. India is as multicultural, if not more so than Canada. I have attempted to highlight some basic physical and statistical generalities and general cultural diversities in India. I have selected only a few areas which I felt would be appropriate, as a starter, to the general understanding of professionals of social agencies in helping people from India. I hope this will provide a base for discussion.

Largest states in sq. km. (1-6)	5th 277,254	6th 187,091		1 1	and the second s	1st 443,459	3rd 308,000		1			_		2nd 342 272	7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7		41h 294 364		
Thickly populated states in sq. km. (1-6)		3rd 324 per sq. km.	l		1st 549 per sq. km.				-		1	1	6th 269 per sq. km.	et	4th 317 per sq. km.	.	5th 300 per sq. km.	2nd 504 per sq. km.	
Capital City Highest population in six states (ranked in order 1-6)	5th 43,502,708	2nd 56,353,369			1	6th 41,654,119	3rd 50,412,235	1		1			was	1	-		1st 88,341,144	4th 44,312,011	
Capital City	Hyderabad Shillong	Patna Gandhinagar	Chandigarh	Simla Srinagar	Trivandrum	Bhopal	Bombay	Imphal	Shillong	Bangalore	Kohima	Bhubaneshwar	Chandigarh	Jaipur	Madras	Agartala	Lucknow	Calcutta	
States (provinces in Canada)	I. Andhra-Pradesh 2. Assam	3. Bihar 4. Gujarat	5. Haryana	o. Himachal-Fradesh 7. Jammu and Kashmir	8. Kerala	9. Madhya-Pradesh	10. Maharashtra	11. Manipur	12. Meghalaya	13. Mysore	14. Nagaland	15. Orissa	16. Punjab	17. Rajasthan	18. Tamil Nadu	19. Tripura	20. Uttar-Pradesh	21. West Bengal	

<sup>\*</sup> National Average Density — 178 per sq. km. \* 2.59 sq. km. = 0.386 sq. miles

Union Territories

1. The Andaman and Nicobar Islands

2. Arunachala Pradesh (NEFA)

3. Chandigarh

4. Dadra and Nagar Haveli

5. Delhi

6. Goa, Div and Daman

7. Laccadive, Minicoy and Amindivi Islands

8. Mizoram

9. Pondicherry

Capital City

Port Blair

Yachuli

Chandigarh

Silvasa

Delhi

Panaji

Kozhikode (Kerala)

Temporary Headquarters

Aizawl

Pondicherry

First Indian woman Chief Engineer	
	12th May 1971)
First Indian woman High Court Judge	
First woman Pleader	. Regina Guha
First woman Barrister	. Miss Cornelia Sorabji
First woman Major	. Sulochana Modi
First Congress President	
First Indian I.C.S.	
Devaluation in India	
Decimal coinage in India	
Nationalisation of banks in India	
Metric system of weights	. 20th July 1909
	1-4 0-4-1 1050
and measures adopted from	
Largest employer in India	. Kailways
No. of passengers carried by	
Indian railways a day	
No. of railway stations in India	
Length of government railways in India	
1st railways in India	.13 miles between Bombay and Kalyan by
	Great Indian Peninsular Railways
	on 16th April 1853
1st newspaper in India	."Bengal Gazette", by J.A. Hickey
	on January 29, 1780
Commercial radio advertising	
started in India	. 1st November 1967
Organized broadcasting	
o spanized of outdooring the first transfer of	Indian Broadcasting Co.
	at Bombay & Calcutta in 1927
1st postage stamp on all-India basis	
	.18th February 1911 from Allahabad to Nair
	.18th February 1911 from Alianabad to Nain
1st government telegraph line between	27/1 7 10/5
India and England	
Postal savings bank	
M.O. system	
1st postal service for public in India	
Atomic energy commission set up	. 10th August 1948
Apsara and Atomic Energy	
Establishment, Tronibay	.Inaugurated by Jawaharial Nehru on
	20th January 1957

First Indian developed rocket  Rohini — R.H. 75 launched from Thumba 20th November 1967  1st human flight in India  Joseph Lynn took off in a balloon in Bombay in 1877 and rose to 7,500 ft.  Air India  Tatz Airlines converted to Air India Ltd. in July 1946  Airlines nationalised  Two Corporations (Air India and
Indian Airlines) Came into existence on 15th June 1953
FIVE-YEAR PLANS Total Outlay First Plan (1950-51 to 1955-56)
Second Plan (1956-57 to 1960-61)
Third Plan (1961-62 to 1965-66)
Three Annual Plans
Fourth Plan (1969-70 to 1973-74)

Area	. 3,276,141 sq. km.
Length	
Breadth	
Land frontier	
Coastline	
Population	
Growth rate	
Minimum rainfall	
Maximum rainfall	
Minimum temperature	
Maximum temperature	
Main crops	
1	castor, sesamum, groundnut
	and cotton
Main Fruits	
	apples, peaches, pears,
	apricots and walnuts
Important rivers	Ganges, Yamuna, Luni, Ghaghara,
	Kosi, Chambal, Betwa, Sone,
	Brahmaputra, Krishna, Mahanadi,
	Narmada, Kaveri, Tapti and Penmar
Expectancy of life at birth	52.6 years (M 53.2 years)
,	(F.51.9 years)
Death rate	14 per 1 000
Literacy	33 per cent
	Hirakud Dam (Orissa), Nagar-
.,	junasagar (Andhra Pradesh),
	Bhakra Nangal (Himachal
	Pradesh), (Punjab), Beas (Him-
	achal Pradesh)
No. of villages	
No. of states	21
No. of districts	
Date of Independence	
Languages in India	15 (provided by Constitution)
Dialects spoken in India	720
Highest hill station	Gulmarg (8 870 ft )
Largest state	Madhya Pradesh (171,221 sq. miles)
Highest Indian structure	Outub Minar (288 ft.)
	4 X. X. X. (200 10.)

Largest populated city	Greater Calcutta (7,005,262)
Highest dam	Bhakra Nangal (740 ft.)
Most literate state	Kerala (46.8 per cent)
Biggest zoo	Zoological Gardens, Calcutta
	(270 acres)
Most populated state	Uttar Pradesh (88,341,144)
Longest platform	Kharagpur (2,733 ft.)
Longest bridge	Sone Bridge (10,052 ft.)

# Publications available from

# Multicultural Development Branch

Analysis and Design of Public Participation Program Evaluation in Ontario

Black Students in Urban Canada

Bookkeeping Procedures for Community Groups

Branch Brochure (Multicultural Profile)

A Citizen's Guide to Local Government in Ontario

Comment Rassembler Les Gens

Conference Planning

Discussion Leaders' Handbook

Ethnocultural Directory of Ontario

Getting People Together

L'Immigration en Ontario — lere partie

L'Immigration en Ontario — 2e partie

Immigration to Ontario (Statistics) — Part I (1957-1971)

Immigration to Ontario (Statistics) — Part II (1972-1975)

Intercultural Seminars: Blacks

Intercultural Seminars: Chinese

Intercultural Seminars: East Indians

Intercultural Seminars: Greeks

Intercultural Seminars: Italians

Intercultural Seminars: Portuguese

Le Manuel de L'Animateur D'une Discussion

Manuel de tenue des livres a l'intention de groupes de citoyens

Multiculturalism, Citizenship and Community

Multicultural Profile

Multicultural Resource Material

Newcomers and New Learning

Newcomers in Transition

Organization D'un Congres

Outreach for Understanding

Participation publique a la planification aux lignes de conduite et aux programmes

Profil Multicultural

Public Participation in Planning Policy and Program

Resource List for a Multicultural Society

Resources for Community Groups



